

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/893,599		NOVAES, MARCOS NOGUEIRA	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi		2154	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mohammad A. Siddiqi (3) _____

(2) John j. Dresch (4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.



Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 23-27 were missing from the group II and claims 9-16 were placed wrongly in Group II in the election/restriction office action mailed on 04/04/2005. The errors have been corrected and new office action has been issued. The date has been reinstated from the mailing date of the second election/restriction office action. The following are the groups:

- I. Claims 1,3-5, 9-16, and 34, drawn to organizing a plurality of documents, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
- II. Claims 6-8, 23-33, and 36, drawn to user interface including Proximity detection, classified in class 345, subclass 587.
- III. Claims 17, 18-22, and 35, drawn to tracking user's bookmarks, classified in class 709, subclass 245.